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**Background**

- There has been a large amount of growth in AAC research in recent years.
- Given the high prevalence of ASD today, much of this research has focused on evaluating intervention efficacy for individuals with ASD.
- However, this focus has overwhelmingly centered on the evaluation of AAC intervention efficacy specifically with young children with ASD.
- This focus is largely driven by the importance of early intervention.
- However, adolescence and adulthood are quite different from childhood; adolescents and adults are quite different from children.
- Therefore, AAC intervention that is effective for adolescents and adults may utilize different modalities or strategies and target different goals from interventions for young children.

**Method**

- The goal of the current study was to understand AAC intervention efficacy specific for adolescents and adults with ASD.
- A systematic review was completed to compile and evaluate currently published AAC intervention research focused on supporting communication in adolescents and adults with ASD.
- The review followed Cochrane Collaborative guidelines relative to establishing a codebook prior to the review, search procedures, and presentation of results.
- Improvement Rate Difference (IRD) was used as the effect size measure with which the data of all included studies were analyzed.

**Overall Results and Efficacy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Identified</th>
<th>Overall Efficacy according to Improvement Rate Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 published studies have evaluated the efficacy of AAC intervention for adolescents and/or adults with ASD</td>
<td>76% of controlled observations showed a “Very Large” effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>These 18 studies included a total of 19 unique participating adolescents/adults with ASD</td>
<td>17% of controlled observations showed a “Moderate” effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 of the 18 identified studies provided either suggestive, preponderant, or conclusive evidence</td>
<td>7% of controlled observations showed a “Small” or “Questionable” effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>These 11 studies are considered below relative to AAC efficacy for adolescents and adults with ASD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

Efficacy by AAC Modality
- 7 studies found high-tech AAC (e.g., tablets) effective
- 3 studies found mid-tech AAC (e.g., recorded buttons) effective
- 2 studies found low-tech AAC (e.g., communication books) effective

Efficacy by Instructional Strategies
- 8 studies found prompting AAC use to be effective
- 7 studies found responding to AAC utterances to be effective
- 2 studies found communication partner strategy instruction to be effective
- 1 study found video modeling to be effective
- 1 study found communication support strategies to be effective
- 1 study found instructing on AAC use to be effective
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